(c) whether it is also a fact that the World Bank in its report entitled 'India: An Industrialising economy in Transition', recommended that there should be larger product aggregation for determination of dominance; and

(d) if so, what is Government's reacti on thertto?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a) During the period 1980 to 1989, 13 undertakings registered themselves under Section 20(b) and 4 more undertakings under Section 20(a) & (b) of the MRTP Act. Besides, some of the undertakings registered under Section 20(a) of the MRTP Act were also informed of their dominant status from time to time. As on 30-6-1990, there were in all 130 dominant undertakings under the MRTP Act.

(b) The criteria for deciding dominance are laid down in Section 2(d) of the MRTP Act.

(c) The World Bank in their country study entitled 'India: An Industrialising Economy in Transition', have *inter alia* suggested:

> "The definition of product groups within which *dominance* may occur might be broadened so that the 'market' in question includes the relevant competitive substitutes."

(d) Product aggregation for the purpose of industrial licensing and consequently for the related areas has been taking place in the normal course. There is no practice under which Government takes any formal view on any suggestion contained in the report.

Fire in Rihand Super Thermal Power Station

*252. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the 500 MW HVDC transmission pole at Rihand Super Thermal Power Station was gutted in a fire, recently;

(b) if so, what were the causes of the fire;

(c) what is the estimated loss suffered as a result thereof; and

(d) what action has been taken by Government in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI ARIF MOHD. KHAN): (a) to (d) The incident of fire occurred on 14-6-1990 at the Rihand HVDC Terminal Station during the course of performance of the commissioning tests by the BHEL and its collaborators (Asea) Brown Boveri, (Switerland); affecting largely the HVDC Pole I equipment and contaminating to some extent, the equipment of the HVDC Pole-II. A high level Committee has been appointed by the National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) to go into the causes of fire, to suggest remedial measures to avoid recurrence of such incidents and to suggest the measures for restoration of Pole-I and expeiditious commissioning of Pole-II of the project.

Necessary action will be taken by the Government after due examination of the recommendations of the Committee, when received. No financial loss has been suffered by the NTPC due to the fire, as the equipment was damaged during its commissioning and the damage has to be made good by the Contractor free of cost. However, the commissioning of the HVDC terminal and line has been affected adversey and they will now be recommissioned in about fifteen to eighteen months time.

Violations under the companies act by Bombay dyeing

*253. SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Department of Company Affairs had submitted a report re-

garding the violation of the provisions under the Companies Act by Bombay Dyeing more than three years back;

(b) if so, what action has been taken by Government on the basis of the report; and

(c) if no action has yet been taken, what are the reasons for the delay in bringing the erring company to book?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a) An inspection of the books of accounts of M|s. Bombay Dyeing and Manufacturing Company Limited was ordered in December, 1986 under Section 209A of the Companies Act 1956. The Inspecting Officer submitted his report in September, 1987.

(b) and (c) On the basis of the Inspection report, three criminal complaints for violation of the provisions of Sections 205, 209 and 211 of the Comwere dismissed by the trial court on ground of limitation and later the Bombay High Court also dismissed its revision petition. The matter has been taken up in the Supreme Court through SLP (Special Leave Petition) on the issue regarding 'limitation'. The matter was also placed before the Company Law Board. The Company Law Board considered the matter at its meeting held on 19.6.90 and decided that on the basis of the available material, there was no case for taking action under Section 408 of the Companies Act.

Coal linkage to Ramagundam Thermal Power Station

*254. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether coal linkage has been sanctioned to the Ramagundam Thermal Power Station Stage II;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI ARIF MOHD. KHAN): (a) to (c) Ramagundam Super Thermal Power Station of the National Thermal Power Corporation is already receiving coal on the basis of approved linkage. This STPS comprises of two stages i.e. Stage-I (3X200 MW) and Stage-II (3X500 MW). Both these units are linked for coal supply from the Singareni Collieries Company Limited. The coal requirement of these units is 7.07 million tonnes during 1990-91 and 7.43 million tonnes from 1991-92 onwards.

Memorandum sent to Prime Minister by Delhi Professional Photographers Association

@*255. SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV:
SHRI MOHINDER SINGH LATHER:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether, in a memorandum to the Prime Minister, the Delhi Professional Photographers Association has asked for the removal of the Chairman-cum-Managing Director of the Hindustan Photo Film Manufacturing Company alleging receipt of kick-backs and commissions by him from foreign suppliers of photographic films besides indulging in other malpractices creating artificial shortages and promoting black marketing;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether Government have made any inquiry to establish facts with regard to the allegations made;

(d) if so, what is the outcome thereof; and

(e) what action has been taken|proposed to be taken by Government in the matter?

@Previously Starred Question No. 115 transferred from 16th August, 1990.